# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# In vitro susceptibility of isolates of Francisella tularensis from Turkey

# SELÇUK KILIÇ<sup>1</sup>, BEKİR ÇELEBI<sup>1</sup>, BÜLENT ACAR<sup>1</sup> & MEHMET ATAŞ<sup>2</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>National Tularemia Reference Laboratory, Public Health Institution of Turkey, Ankara, and <sup>2</sup>Public Health Laboratory, Sivas, Turkey

# Abstract

Background: Tularemia is an infection caused by Francisella tularensis, which has a wide distribution in the northern hemisphere and diverse clinical manifestations. For decades, the drug of choice for the treatment of tularemia has been streptomycin, with tetracycline and chloramphenicol being used as alternatives. The purpose of the present study was to determine the in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of a large panel of geographically diverse F. tularensis isolates from Turkey against traditional and newer antimicrobial agents. Methods: The antibiotic susceptibilities of 250 F. tularensis strains were examined using the Epsilometer test for 9 antimicrobial agents. Each isolate was identified by conventional and molecular techniques. Results: All the strains were confirmed biochemically and using a combination of species- and subspecies-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays to be F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. One isolate was assigned to F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar japonica based on erythromycin susceptibility, an ability to ferment glycerol, and the nucleotide sequence of the region of difference 1 (RD1). All strains were susceptible to aminoglycosides (streptomycin and gentamicin), tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline), chloramphenicol, 2 fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin), and rifampicin. In addition, all isolates except 1 had a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for erythromycin of  $> 256 \mu g/ml$ . Conclusions: Since the fluoroquinolones showed the lowest MIC values and have advantages such as excellent bioavailability and activity, availability of oral formulations, and lower toxicities, they represent candidate therapeutic options in the first-line treatment of tularemia. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the presence of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar japonica outside Japan.

Keywords: Francisella tularensis, tularemia, antimicrobial susceptibility, Epsilometer test, Turkey

## Introduction

Tularemia, caused by Francisella tularensis, is a potentially fatal multisystem disease in humans and some animals. Tularemia occurs widely across the northern hemisphere, with great variations in the geographic and temporal occurrence, but has rarely been found in the southern hemisphere [1,2].

Currently there are 4 recognized subspecies of F. tularensis: tularensis (type A), holarctica (type B), novicida, and mediasiatica, which differ in their pathogenicity and geographical distributions. While the highly virulent type A is usually confined to North America, the less virulent type B occurs in Europe and Asia and to a lesser extent in North America. Other subspecies, mediasiatica, novicida,

and a Japanese variant of holarctica, show a restricted geographical range and play little or no role in human disease [1–4]. Biochemical characterization (utilization of carbohydrates) and susceptibility to erythromycin separates subspecies holarctica into 3 distinct biovars: biovar I (erythromycin-sensitive), biovar II (erythromycin-resistant), and biovar japonica fermenting glycerol [5,6].

Tularemia generally presents as an acute febrile disease, with the major clinical presentations including the 6 classic forms of tularemia: ulceroglandular, glandular, oculoglandular, oropharyngeal, respiratory, and typhoidal [1,2]. Since infections with either subspecies tularensis or subspecies holarctica take a protracted course,

(Received 5 September 2012; accepted 15 November 2012)

ISSN 0036-5548 print/ISSN 1651-1980 online © 2012 Informa Healthcare DOI: 10.3109/00365548.2012.751125

Correspondence: Selçuk Kılıç, Public Health Institution of Turkey, Bacterial Zoonoses Reference Laboratory, National Tularemia Reference Laboratory, Adnan Saygun Street 55, 06100 Sihhiye, Ankara, Turkey. Tel: +90 312 565 54 35. Fax: +90 312 565 54 50. E-mail: selcuk.kilic@thsk.gov.tr; mdskilic2003@ yahoo.com

antibiotic treatment is necessary [4]. For decades, streptomycin has been considered the drug of choice for the treatment of all forms of tularemia. Gentamicin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and quinolones have been recommended as alternatives [7-11]. The purpose of the present study was to determine the in vitro antibiotic susceptibility of a large panel of geographically diverse F. tularensis isolates from Turkey against traditional and newer antimicrobial agents.

# Materials and methods

#### Bacterial strains

Two-hundred and fifty F. tularensis strains isolated from humans (n = 210), water (n = 39), and a rodent (n = 1) were examined in this study (see Supplementary, Table I to be found online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/ 00365548.2012.751125). The isolates were selected to include those obtained from diverse geographical areas of Turkey during the period October 2009 to July 2012 (Figure 1).

The reference strain, F. tularensis National Collection of Type Cultures (NCTC) 10857 (F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS; live vaccine strain) was used as the control for the identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Quality control strains were Escherichia coli American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 25922, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853. All strains were stored in skim milk at  $-80^{\circ}$ C and twice subcultured before starting the study.

# Confirmation of identity

F. tularensis strains were identified using standard criteria as described previously [12,13]. DNA was extracted from pure cultures of F. tularensis strains using a commercial kit based on silica gelmembrane technology (QIAamp DNA Extraction Mini Kit; Qiagen GmbH, Germany). Affiliation to the genus Francisella was confirmed by amplification of the 17-kDa outer membrane lipoprotein gene fragment (species-specific *tul4* gene), as described previously by Sjöstedt et al. [14]. After confirmation of isolates as F. tularensis by PCR with *tul4* primers, 2 different conventional PCRs targeting the region of difference 1 (RD1) were used to determine subspecies identity [15,16].

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility

Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 9 antimicrobial agents (streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, doxycycline, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, chloramphenicol, rifampicin, and erythromycin) were determined by Epsilometer test (MIC test strip, Liofilchem s.r.l., Italy) on cysteine heart agar plates supplemented with 10% sheep blood (CHAB). Prior to susceptibility testing, F. tularensis isolates were subcultured from frozen stocks onto CHAB, followed by 2 additional subcultures on chocolate agar plates for 48 h at 35°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines have established breakpoints for F. tularensis susceptibility testing with tetracycline, doxycycline, streptomycin, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin. In the



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of Francisella tularensis strains in Turkey.

absence of specific breakpoint data for F. tularensis, MIC values were interpreted according to CLSI criteria for Enterobacteriaceae [17].

#### Results

#### Confirmation of species, subspecies, and biovars

All isolates were confirmed to be F. tularensis subsp. holarctica based on a F. tularensis-specific PCR targeting the tul4 gene, followed by a subspecies-specific PCR targeting the genetic region named RD1. Based on erythromycin susceptibility, 249 of the 250 isolates were assigned to F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar II (erythromycin-resistant). One isolate (TUR-F083) was assigned to biovar japonica based on erythromycin susceptibility, an ability to ferment glycerol, and a nucleotide sequence of RD1 that is specific to biovar japonica. The RD1 amplicon was sequenced and the 1136-bp amplicon (GenBank accession number JX436321.1) demonstrated 100% homology with the RD1 complete sequence (RD1) from F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strain FSC075 stored in GenBank (AF469618.1).

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility

The MIC distributions for subspecies holarctica strains investigated are presented in Table I. Briefly, all isolates were susceptible to aminoglycosides (gentamicin and streptomycin), quinolones (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin), tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline), chloramphenicol, and rifampicin. The MICs that inhibited the growth of 50% and 90% of the isolates (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, respectively) are shown in Table I. According to the MIC<sub>90</sub> values, levofloxacin (0.012 mg/l) was found to be the most active agent, followed by ciprofloxacin (0.016 mg/l), gentamicin (0.25 mg/l), doxycycline (0.25 mg/l), tetracycline (0.38 mg/l), chloramphenicol (0.5 mg/l), rifampicin (0.75 mg/l), and streptomycin (1.5 mg/l). All strains except 1 (TUR-F083) were resistant to erythromycin with minimal inhibitory concentrations > 256 mg/l (Table I). Erythromycin resistance was observed in 249 isolates, which were therefore assigned to biovar II. The remaining isolate was identified as biovar III (biovar japonica) based on being erythromycin-susceptible, biochemical test, and molecular methods.

## Discussion

We assayed the in vitro susceptibilities to 9 antimicrobials, some of which are in common use in the treatment of tularemia, using the Epsilometer test. The MIC values of streptomycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, doxycycline, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and chloramphenicol interpreted according to the CLSI criteria for potential bioterrorism agents, showed ranges below the breakpoints for sensitivity determination (Table I). Since the breakpoint MICs for susceptibility to rifampicin have not yet been established, the CLSI interpretive criteria for Enterobacteriaceae were taken into consideration in order to evaluate the results of the MIC determinations in the literature [12,18]. According to the CLSI interpretive criteria

Table I. In vitro activities of 9 antibiotics against 250 Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica isolates and a reference strain.

Antimicrobial	MIC (mg/l) for clinical strains			MIC (mg/l) for	
	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	reference strain NCTC 10857	CLSI breakpoints for susceptibility (mg/l)
Aminoglycosides					
Streptomycin	0.5-2.0	1.0	1.5	0.75	$\leq$ 8 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Gentamicin	0.094-0.38	0.19	0.25	0.125	$\leq$ 4 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Tetracyclines					
Tetracycline	0.094-0.5	0.25	0.38	0.19	$\leq$ 4 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Doxycycline	0.064-0.38	0.19	0.25	0.125	$\leq$ 4 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Fluoroquinolones					
Ciprofloxacin	0.004-0.023	0.012	0.016	0.008	$\leq$ 0.5 mg/la
Levofloxacin	0.003-0.016	0.008	0.012	0.006	$\leq$ 0.5 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Others					
Chloramphenicol	0.094-0.75	0.38	0.5	0.19	$\leq$ 8 mg/l <sup>a</sup>
Rifampicin	0.125-1.0	0.5	0.75	0.19	$\leq$ 4 mg/l <sup>b</sup>
Erythromycin	1.0 - 256	>256	>256	>256	<u>+</u> c

MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; NCTC, National Collection of Type Cultures; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

<sup>a</sup>CLSI breakpoints for F. tularensis.

<sup>b</sup>CLSI criteria for Enterobacteriaceae.

 $^{c}\pm$  Used for biovar designation.

for Enterobacteriaceae, all isolates were susceptible to rifampicin. For decades, streptomycin was considered the drug of choice for the treatment of tularemia, with defervescence usually within 48 h of treatment and the least number of relapses [7]. Although gentamicin may substitute for streptomycin, the main drawbacks such as toxicity, the necessity for parenteral administration, and the necessity for monitoring of serum levels, preclude its wider use, especially in the outpatient setting. Consistent with the results of previous studies [12,13,18–23], all isolates in this study were susceptible to streptomycin and gentamicin. Streptomycin had the higher MIC value (MIC<sub>90</sub> 1.5 mg/l) compared to gentamicin (MIC<sub>90</sub> 0.25 mg/l).

Tetracycline and doxycycline are among the effective drugs in the treatment of tularemia [4,7]. In our study, doxycycline (MIC<sub>90</sub> 0.25 mg/l) and tetracycline (MIC<sub>90</sub> 0.38 mg/l) showed good in vitro activity against all the isolates, and MICs showed ranges below the breakpoint for sensitivity determination (sensitive  $\leq 1$  mg/l) [17].

Although chloramphenicol has been shown to be efficacious against F. tularensis, it is only indicated for tularemia meningitis owing to the lack of experience using other treatment alternatives with good penetration into the cerebrospinal fluid [12,18]. All the isolates were susceptible to chloramphenicol (MIC 0.094–0.75 mg/l). This result is in agreement with those previously reported [12,13,18–23].

There is growing evidence that the fluoroquinolones are effective for first-line therapy of tularemia because of their excellent bioavailability, intracellular penetration capability, the ability to achieve optimum tissue concentrations, and the fact that drug level monitoring is not necessary [8-11,24,25]. In the present study, quinolones had the lowest MIC values among the antibiotics tested. Levofloxacin (MIC<sub>90</sub> 0.012 mg/l) had a lower MIC value than ciprofloxacin (MIC<sub>00</sub> 0.016 mg/l) against subspecies holarctica. There are a few reports on the in vitro activities against F. tularensis and our results are in agreement with those studies [18,20,21,23]. Streptomycin, and to a lesser extent gentamicin, are today by far the most commonly used aminoglycosides in Turkish hospitals. However, aminoglycoside therapy usually requires hospitalization of the patient and has drawbacks such as vestibular toxicity and nephrotoxicity. On the other hand, their use is less feasible as the majority of our tularemia patients are not in need of hospitalization. Hence, fluoroquinolones appear suitable for ambulatory treatment and probably also for hospitalized cases in Turkey.

Although erythromycin has been used successfully in a few patients with pneumonia in the USA [26,27], macrolides are not recommended for the treatment of tularemia due to resistance to erythromycin in some areas of Europe and Russia [5]. Our results with regard to erythromycin confirm previous data [12,18,20], indicating that European isolates are erythromycin-resistant, and almost all Turkish isolates were F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar II (erythromycin-resistant). Within the present collection, a single strain was assigned as biovar japonica due to its erythromycin susceptibility, biochemical characteristics, and sequencing of the RD1 region. This observation confirms previous data [22] indicating that biovar japonica is macrolide-sensitive. Since the prevailing F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar II is resistant, erythromycin and other macrolides should not be used for the treatment of tularemia in Turkey.

In this study, rifampicin exhibited good antimicrobial activity against all the strains tested (MIC 0.125–1.0 mg/l). Even though drawbacks exist, such as some toxicities and the rapid emergence of resistance in monotherapy, our results as well as previous reports [18,19,21] emphasize that rifampicin might be useful in conjunction with aminoglycosides or quinolones in severe cases of tularemia owing to its advantage of oral administration.

In conclusion, the classically recommended therapeutics proved to be effective in vitro against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains from Turkey. On the basis of our results, erythromycin and other macrolides should not be used in the treatment of tularemia in Turkey. Additionally, fluoroquinolones are highly effective, suggesting that these antimicrobial agents might be useful for patients treated on an outpatient basis or for those who are intolerant to more standard treatment regimens. Moreover, we have described an important novel finding of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica: the existence of biovar japonica outside Japan. The discovery of the Japanese variant of subsp. holarctica in Turkey raises epidemiologic and epizootiologic questions and requires further study.

**Declaration of interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

#### References

- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Tularemia. WHO/CDS/EPR/2007.7. Geneva: WHO; 2007.
- [2] Sjöstedt A. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical manifestations. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007;1105:1–29.
- [3] Eliasson H, Broman T, Forsman M, Bäck E. Tularemia: current epidemiology and disease management. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2006;2:289–311.

- [4] Tarnvik A, Chu MC. New approaches to diagnosis and therapy of tularemia. Ann NY Acad Sci 2007;1105:378–404.
- [5] Kudelina RI, Olsufiev NG. Sensitivity to macrolide antibiotics and lincomycin in Francisella tularensis holarctica. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol 1980;24:84–91.
- [6] Sandström G, Sjöstedt A, Forsman M, Pavlovich NV, Mishankin BN. Characterization and classification of strains of Francisella tularensis isolated in the central Asian focus of the Soviet Union and in Japan. J Clin Microbiol 1992;1: 172–5.
- [7] Enderlin G, Morales L, Jacobs R F, Cross JT. Streptomycin and alternative agents for the treatment of tularemia: review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 1994;19:42–7.
- [8] Syrjala H, Schildt R, Raisainen S. In vitro susceptibility of Francisella tularensis to fluoroquinolones and treatment of tularemia with norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1991;10:68–70.
- [9] Johansson A, Berglund L, Gothefors L, Sjostedt A, Tärnvik A. Ciprofloxacin for treatment of tularemia in children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2000;19:449–53.
- [10] Johansson A, Berglund L, Sjostedt A, Tärnvik A. Ciprofloxacin for treatment of tularemia. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:267–8.
- [11] Meric M, Willke A, Finke EJ, Grunow R, Sayan M, Erdogan S, Gedikoglu S. Evaluation of clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic features of 145 tularemia cases: the role of quinolones in oropharyngeal tularemia. APMIS 2008;116: 66–73.
- [12] Tomaso H, Al Dahouk S, Hoefer E, Splettstoesser W, Treu T, Dierich M, Neubauer H. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Austrian Francisella tularensis holarctica biovar II strains. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2005;26:279–84.
- [13] Yesilyurt M, Kilic S, Celebi B, Celik M, Gul S, Erdogan F, Ozel G. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica strains isolated from humans in the Central Anatolia region of Turkey. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;11:2588–92.
- [14] Sjöstedt A, Kuoppa K, Johansson A, Sandström G. The 17 kDa lipoprotein and encoding gene of Francisella tularensis LVS are conserved in 241 strains of Francisella tularensis. Microb Pathog 1992;13:243–9.
- [15] Broekhuijsen M, Larsson P, Johansson A, Bystrom M, Eriksson U, Larsson E, et al. Genome-wide DNA microarray analysis of Francisella tularensis strains demonstrates extensive genetic conservation within the species but identifies regions that are unique to the highly virulent F. tularensis subsp. tularensis. J Clin Microbiol 2003;7:2924–31.

## Supplementary material available online

Supplementary Table I showing characteristics of the Francisella tularensis isolates analyzed.

- [16] Huber B, Escudero R, Busse HJ, Seibold E, Scholz HC, Anda P, et al. Description of Francisella hispaniensis sp. nov., isolated from human blood, reclassification of Francisella novicida (Larson et al. 1955) Olsufiev et al. 1959 as Francisella tularensis subsp. novicida comb. nov. and emended description of the genus Francisella. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2010;60:1887–96.
- [17] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Sixteenth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S16. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2006.
- [18] Ikäheimo I, Syrjälä H, Karhukorpi J, Schildt R, Koskela M. In vitro antibiotic susceptibility of Francisella tularensis isolated from humans and animals. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000;46:287–90.
- [19] Eric V, Vaissaire J, Merens A, Hernandez E, Gros C, Le Doujet C, et al. Susceptibility of 71 French isolates of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica to eight antibiotics and accuracy of the E test method. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;1:208–10.
- [20] García del Blanco N, Gutiérrez Martín CB, de la Puente Redondo VA, Rodríguez Ferri EF. In vitro susceptibility of field isolates of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica recovered in Spain to several antimicrobial agents. Res Vet Sci 2004;76:195–8.
- [21] Johansson A, Urich SK, Chu MC, Sjöstedt A, Tärnvik A. In vitro susceptibility to quinolones of Francisella tularensis subspecies tularensis. Scand J Infect Dis 2002;34:327–30.
- [22] Scheel O, Hoel T, Sandvik T, Berdal BP. Susceptibility pattern of Scandinavian Francisella tularensis isolates with regard to oral and parenteral antimicrobial agents. APMIS 1993;101:33–6.
- [23] Urich SK, Petersen JM. In vitro susceptibility of isolates of Francisella tularensis types A and B from North America. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008;6:2276–8.
- [24] Aranda EA. Treatment of tularemia with levofloxacin. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001;7:167–8.
- [25] Scheel O, Reiersen R, Hoel T. Treatment of tularemia with ciprofloxacin. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1992;11: 447–8.
- [26] Harrell RE Jr, Simmons HF. Pleuropulmonary tularemia: successful treatment with erythromycin. South Med J 1990; 83:1363–4.
- [27] Westerman EL, McDonald J. Tularemia pneumonia mimicking legionnaires' disease: isolation of organism on CYE agar and successful treatment with erythromycin. South Med J 1983;76:1169–70.

RIGHTSLINK()